



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/686,033	10/11/2000	William P. Chiles	MS154755.1	5914
27195	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			ZHEN, WEI Y	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET		ER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2122	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

. `\a	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/686,033	CHILES ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wei Zhen	2122	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper the fee. The approper originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in If the appeal.	
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi te Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5, 6, 8-13, 22-35.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		4	
BEST AVAIL	ABLE COPY	M. 2	
		M. Z WEI ZHEN Primary EXA	
		primary EXA	MINO

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. For example, applicant argues (1) Kawachi et al fails to teach or suggest mappling the code model object to the correct syntax for a particular computer programming language assoicated with the semantic element as recited in claim 32; (2) Conner et al does not disclose a language neutral interface that insulate a programmer from unique syntax assoicated with a plurality of programing language as recited in claim 1. Kawachi et al clearly discloses (1) mappling the code model object to the correct syntax for a particular computer programming language assoicated with the semantic element (column 3, lines 28-34, "...create or assumble a data flow program, referred to as a block diagram...the assmebled graphical program may then be compiled or interpreted to produce machine language that accomplishes the desired method or process as shown in the block diagram..."); Conner et al clearly discloses a lanauge neutral interface and encapsulting a pluraitly of programming lanagues (col. 2 liens 3-24). The previous office action provides the motivation of why it would have been obvious to incorporate Conner's teaching with McInerney et al's teaching. The rejections is proper and is maintained.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY